UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,459	03/01/2004	Warren B. Cope	2673	9953
28004 <b>SPRIN</b> T	7590 12/08/201	0	EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT I KSOPHT0101-			ZENATI, AMAL S	
	22100 PARK, KS 66251-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/790,459	COPE, WARREN B.		
Examiner induced interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AMAL ZENATI	2614		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After Final</u>			
(1) <u>AMAL ZENATI</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>David Bovitz</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>30 November 2010</u>	Time: <u>00:30</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: 1 and 19				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:				
Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview				
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar				
/CURTIS KUNTZ/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614				
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Examiners initiated a telephone interview with Applicant's representative David Bovitz to discuss a proposed amendment in the light of the Applicant's Pre-Brief Conference request in order to put the claims in condition for allowance.

Agreement was reached to amend the claim 1 as the following:

to add the limitation " at a first call center, wherein the first switch is communicatively coupled to the first call center " after the limitation " programming a first switch to terminate calls directed to at least one phone number," and after the limitation" at a second switch " add the limitation "communicatively coupled to a second call center." The same amendment for claim 19.